# A Practical Total Synthesis of Both *E*- and *Z*-Isomers of Optically Pure (*S*)-14-Methylhexadec-8-enal (Trogodermal)

Jhillu S. Yadav,\*a Ch. Chandravathi,<sup>b</sup> N. Thrimurtulu,<sup>b</sup> A. R. Prasad,<sup>b</sup> Ahmad Alkhazim Al Ghamdi<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Organic Chemistry I, CSIR, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500007, India Fax +91(40)27160512; E-mail: yadavpub@iict.res.in

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Semiochemicals, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500007, India

<sup>c</sup> Engineer Abdullah Baqshan for Bee Research, King Saudi University, Saudi Arabia

Received: 22.01.2013; Accepted after revision: 28.03.2013

**Abstract:** The total synthesis of both *E*- and *Z*-isomers of optically pure (*S*)-14-methylhexadec-8-enal (trogodermal) is described. Key steps involved Corey–Fuchs reaction, zipper isomerization, and different hydrogenation conditions for *cis*- and *trans*-isomers.

**Key words:** sex pheromone, trogodermal, (*R*)-citronellol, Corey– Fuchs reaction, zipper isomerization

Beetles that belong to Trogoderma genus create havoc in rice storage. It certainly requires a nonpesticidal management strategy to protect the stored grain, which is possible only with the application of insect pheromones. As early as 1969, Rodin et al. isolated (-)-(Z)-14-methylhexadec-8-en-l-ol (2) and (-)-methyl (E)-14-methylhexadec-8enoate (5) as the sex pheromone of the female dermestid beetle (Trogoderma inclusum) by extracting whole insect bodies.<sup>1</sup> In 1976, Cross et al.<sup>1c</sup> isolated the genuine sex pheromone systems of Trogoderma inclusum, Trogoderma variabile, and Trogoderma glabrum. They identified it as (Z)-14-methylhexadec-8-enal (1) in both T. inclusum and T. variabile, but the corresponding E-isomer in T. glabrum. However, both isomers were found in T. grana*rium* in a Z/E ratio of 92:8. Although the two pheromone<sup>2-4</sup> aldehydes 1 and 3 (Figure 1) as well as their enantiomers have been synthesized by other research groups, which include those of Mori<sup>2</sup> and R. Rossi,<sup>3</sup> they remain of interest in terms of an affordable synthesis considering their application in the management of storage pests.

As a part of our ongoing work on the synthesis of pheromones,<sup>5</sup> herein we report the synthesis of trogodermals **1** 



Figure 1 Trogoderma pheromone components

and **3** using reactions such as the Corey–Fuchs reaction and zipper isomerization from the readily available starting material citronellol. Our retrosynthetic analysis is outlined in Scheme 1. It is envisioned that the two trogodermals **1** and **3** can be synthesized by coupling the corresponding alkyne fragment **6** and respective bromo compound **7A**. The alkyne fragment can be obtained from readily available starting material (R)-citronellol (**8**), whereas the bromo compound can be obtained from heptane-1,7-diol (**7**).



**Scheme 1** Retrosynthetic analysis of (*S*,*Z*)- and (*S*,*E*)-trogodermals

SYNTHESIS 2013, 45, 1513–1518 Advanced online publication: 08.05.2013 DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1338432; Art ID: SS-2012-Z1000-OP © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York 1513



**Scheme 2** *Reagents and conditions:* (a) Ph<sub>3</sub>P=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Et, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0–25 °C, 2 h, 80%; (b) 1. LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 73%; 2. IBX, DMSO–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1:3), 1 h, 90%; (c) CBr<sub>4</sub>, Ph<sub>3</sub>P, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 12 h, 90%; (d) BuLi, THF, 0 °C, 90%; (e) EtI, THF, -78 °C, 80%; (f) 1. Na, liq NH<sub>3</sub>, THF, -33 °C, 15 min, 94%; 2. NaNH<sub>2</sub>, Li 1,3-diaminopropane, 65 °C, 6 h, 68%; (g) NaH, BnBr, THF, 90%.

Accordingly, alkyne fragment **6** is derived from aldehyde **9**,<sup>8</sup> which is prepared from (*R*)-citronellol (**8**). Aldehyde **9** was treated with a C<sub>2</sub>-Wittig salt in benzene to give  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester **10**, which upon treatment with lithium aluminum hydride in tetrahydrofuran provided the corresponding alcohol in 73% yield. The alcohol on oxidation with 2-iodoxybenzoic acid in dimethyl sulfoxide–dichloromethane (1:3) at room temperature afforded the aldehyde **11** in 90% yield. Compound **11** was subjected to Corey–Fuchs<sup>6</sup> reaction using triphenylphosphine and carbon tetrabromide in dichloromethane at 0 °C to obtain to dibromoalkene **12** in 90% yield. Dibromoalkene **12** was converted into alkyne **6** using butyllithium in tetrahydrofuran at 0 °C in 90% yield as described in Scheme 2.

The fragment 6 was also synthesized from aldehyde 9 by subjecting it to Corey–Fuchs<sup>6</sup> reaction and converting it into dibromoalkene 13 in 90% yield. Compound 13 was converted into alkyne 14 in 80% yield (Scheme 2) using butyllithium in tetrahydrofuran at 0 °C followed by reaction with iodoethane in tetrahydrofuran at -78 °C. Alkyne 14 was subjected to zipper isomerization<sup>7</sup> under different reaction conditions (t-BuOK, 1,3-diaminopropane, 80 °C, 6 h; t-BuOK, Li, 1,3-diaminopropane, r.t., 20 h; NaNH<sub>2</sub>, NaH, or NaH, THF in 1,3-diaminopropane, 60 °C, 6 h). However, in every case the reaction was unsuccessful, but after deprotection of the benzyl group the reaction gave alkyne 15 in 68% yield. Upon protection with the benzyl group, using benzyl bromide and sodium hydride in tetrahydrofuran, compound 15 yielded the required alkyne 6 as described in Scheme 2.

For the preparation of trogodermals 1 and 3, compound 6 was converted into the corresponding alkynyllithium using lithium in liquid ammonia and then coupled with bromo compound 7A (for the synthesis of 7A see Scheme 3) to produce alkyne 16 in 85% yield (Scheme 4). Deprotection of the benzyl group with sodium in liquid ammonia at -33 °C produced alcohol 17 in 94% yield, which upon tosylation with tosyl chloride and triethylamine in dichloromethane followed by reduction with lithium aluminum hydride afforded the alkyne 18 in 95% yield. The alkyne 18 was treated with 4-toluenesulfonic acid in methanol to give primary alcohol 19 in 90% yield. Catalytic partial hydrogenation of alcohol 19 with Lindlar's catalyst<sup>7g</sup> containing quinoline gave the Z-isomer of trogodermol 2 in 94% yield, which on further oxidation with 2-iodoxybenzoic acid in dimethyl sulfoxide-dichloromethane (1:3) provided (Z)-trogodermal 1 in 87% yield. Similarly, trans reduction of alcohol 19 with sodium in liquid ammonia at -33 °C afforded the E-isomer of trogodermol 4 in 94% yield, which on further oxidation with 2-iodoxybenzoic acid in dimethyl sulfoxide-dichloromethane (1:3) afforded (E)-trogodermal 3 in 87% yield (Scheme 4). The spectral data of the thus synthesized compounds 1 and 3 are in agreement with the reported products.<sup>1-4</sup>

In summary, a practical total synthesis of both *E*- and *Z*isomers of optically pure (*S*)-14-methylhexadec-8-enal (trogodermal) is successfully accomplished in 21.6% overall yield from (*R*)-8-(benzyloxy)-6-methyloctanal, which can be easily prepared from (*R*)-citronellol, a commercially available compound. The key steps involve the Corey–Fuchs reaction and zipper isomerization.



Scheme 3



**Scheme 4** *Reagents and conditions:* (h) Li, liq NH<sub>3</sub>, **7A**, HMPA, THF, -78 °C, 85%; (i) Na, liq NH<sub>3</sub>, THF, -33 °C, 15 min, 94%; (j) 1. TsCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C to r.t., 6 h, 95%; 2. LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 0 °C to r.t., 4 h, 95%; (k) PTSA, MeOH, 1 h, 90%; (l) Pd/BaSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, quinoline, EtOH, 6 h, 94%; (m) Na, liq NH<sub>3</sub>, THF, -33 °C, 12 h, 94%; (n) IBX, DMSO–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1:3), 90%.

All reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere unless mentioned following standard syringe septa techniques. Solvents were dried and purified by conventional methods prior to use. The progress of all the reactions was monitored by TLC using glass plates precoated with silica gel-60  $F_{254}$  to a thickness of 0.5 mm (Merck). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (60–120 mesh) and neutral alumina using Et<sub>2</sub>O, EtOAc, petroleum ether (PE), and hexane as the eluents. Optical rotation values were measured with a Perkin-Elmer P241 polarimeter and Jasco DIP-360 digital polarimeter at 25 °C and IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer ST-IR spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker Avance 300 MHz spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Mass spectra were recorded on Micro mass VG-7070H for EI and VG Autospec M for FAB-MS.

#### Ethyl (*R*,*E*)-8-(Benzyloxy)-6-methyloct-2-enoate (10)

Ethyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (7.7 g, 22.11 mmol) was added to a stirred soln of **9** (4.0 g, 18.43 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (38 mL) at 0 °C; the mixture was stirred for 2 h at r.t. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–PE, 1:9;  $R_f$ = 0.6) to afford pure **10** (4.2 g, 80%) as a colorless liquid; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> +2.9 (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3432, 2925, 2856, 1719, 1200, 1101, 738, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.17–7.36 (m, 5 H), 6.84–6.97 (m, 1 H), 5.76 (td, *J* = 1.5, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.45 (s, 2 H), 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.45 (dt, *J* = 14.7, 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.20 (m, 2 H), 1.33–1.71 (m, 5 H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 6.42 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 166.7, 149.3, 138.4, 128.3, 127.6, 127.5, 72.8, 68.4, 60.0, 36.4, 35.1, 29.6, 29.4, 19.2, 14.2.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 313 [M + Na]^+$ .

#### (R)-8-(Benzyloxy)-6-methyloctanal (11)

To a stirred suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (1.1 g, 28.96 mmol) in anhyd THF (10 mL) at 0 °C, a soln of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester **10** (4.2 g, 14.48

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart  $\cdot$  New York

mmol) in anhyd THF (20 mL) was added dropwise. Then the mixture was refluxed for 4 h and cooled to 0 °C, diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, and quenched by dropwise addition of sat. aq Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solid material was filtered and washed thoroughly with hot EtOAc several times. The combined organic layers were dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude alcohol was used directly without purification. To a stirred soln of 2-iodoxybenzoic acid (4.1 g, 14.7 mmol) in DMSO (5 mL) was added a soln of alcohol (2.64 g, 10.57 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) at r.t. and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. When the reaction was complete (TLC monitoring), H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) was added to the mixture, the precipitated solid was filtered off, and the filtrate was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $4 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and brine, and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–PE, 1:9;  $R_f = 0.7$ ) to afford pure 11 (2.313 g, 90%) as a viscous liquid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 1.3$  (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 2927, 2859, 1712, 1454, 1365, 1219, 1106, 1072, 772  $\rm cm^{-l}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 9.70 (t, *J* = 1.70 Hz, 1 H), 7.25–7.32 (m, 5 H), 4.45 (s, 2 H), 3.44 (dt, *J* = 6.6, 1.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.36 (dt, *J* = 7.1, 1.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.52–1.68 (m, 4 H), 1.23–1.45 (m, 4 H), 1.07–1.19 (m, 1 H), 0.87 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 202.2, 138.5, 128.2, 127.5, 127.4, 72.83, 68.4, 43.8, 36.71, 36.66, 29.64, 26.47, 22.27, 19.55.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 271 [M + Na]^+$ .

# (*R*)-[(9,9-Dibromo-3-methylnon-8-enyloxy)methyl]benzene (12)

To a soln of aldehyde **11** (2.3 g, 9.32 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) were added  $CBr_4$  (7.7 g, 23.3 mmol) and  $Ph_3P$  (9.7 g, 37.28 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 12 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to leave a crude oil. Purification by chroma-

tography (silica gel, EtOAc–PE, 1:9;  $R_f = 0.8$ ) afforded **12** (3.3 g, 90%) as a magenta-colored liquid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 1.7$  (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3449, 2925, 2855, 1455, 1101, 772 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.18–7.34 (m, 5 H), 6.34 (t, J = 7.36 Hz, 1 H), 4.45 (s, 2 H), 3.40–3.50 (m, 2 H), 2.03–2.13 (m, 2 H), 1.54–1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.21–1.46 (m, 7 H), 0.87 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 138.6, 138.4, 128.2, 127.4, 127.3, 96.2, 72.9, 68.4, 68.3, 36.8, 33.0, 29.8, 28.4, 28.4, 26.5, 25.7, 19.8.

#### (*R*)-[(3-Methylnon-8-ynyloxy)methyl]benzene (6)

To soln of dibromo compound **12** (3.3 g, 8.34 mmol) in anhyd THF (24 mL), 1.6 M BuLi in THF (1.2 mL, 12.5 mmol) was added at -78 °C over 0.5 h; the mixture was stirred for 2 h. The mixture was quenched with sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl (10 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–PE, 1:9,  $R_f$ = 0.85) to afford pure **6** (1.79 g, 90%) as a colorless liquid; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> +0.3 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3305, 2928, 2858, 1456, 1365, 1101, 737 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.18–7.34 (m, 5 H), 4.45 (s, 2 H), 3.40–3.50 (m, 2 H), 2.12–2.19 (dt, *J* = 6.8, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.82 (s, 1 H), 1.55–1.67 (m, 2 H), 1.07–1.53 (m, 8 H), 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.83 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 138.6, 128.2, 127.5, 127.4, 72.8, 68.5, 68.2, 36.7, 36.4, 29.7, 29.3, 28.6, 26.0, 19.6, 18.3.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 267 [M + Na]^+$ .

# (*R*)-[(7,7-Dibromo-3-methylhept-6-enyloxy)methyl]benzene (13)

To a soln of aldehyde **9** (1.0 g, 4.60 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) were added CBr<sub>4</sub> (3.8 g, 11.5 mmol) and Ph<sub>3</sub>P (4.8 g, 18.4 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to leave a crude oil. Purification by chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–PE, 1:9,  $R_f = 0.7$ ) afforded **13** (1.6 g, 90%) as a magenta-colored liquid.

IR (KBr): 3449, 3029, 2925, 2855, 1455, 1101, 772 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.18–7.34 (m, 5 H), 6.34 (t, *J* = 7.36 Hz, 1 H), 4.45 (s, 2 H), 3.40–3.50 (m, 2 H), 2.03–2.13 (m, 2 H), 1.54–1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.21–1.46 (m, 3 H), 0.87 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 138.6, 138.4, 128.2, 127.4, 96.2, 72.9, 68.4, 36.8, 33.0, 29.0, 28.19, 19.8.

#### (R)-[(3-Methylnon-6-ynyloxy)methyl]benzene (14)

To a soln of dibromo compound **13** (1.6 g, 4.14 mmol) in anhyd THF (15 mL), 1.6 M BuLi in THF (10 mL, 10.31 mmol) was added at -78 °C. Then slowly EtI (0.4 mL, 2.5 mmol) was added at -78 °C and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. The mixture was quenched with sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl (10 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–PE, 1:9,  $R_f = 0.75$ ) to afford pure **14** (0.80 g, 80%) as a colorless liquid.

IR (KBr): 2926, 2858, 1722, 1455, 1366, 1273, 1103, 737 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.27–7.33 (m, 5 H), 4.47 (s, 2 H), 3.48 (dt, *J* = 6.7, 1.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.06–2.18 (m, 4 H), 1.36–1.79 (m, 2 H), 1.21–1.35 (m, 3 H), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 0.94–1.0 (m, 1 H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 129.4, 128.2, 127.5, 127.3, 72.8, 68.4, 36.3, 29.6, 19.4, 19.1, 16.3, 14.2, 12.3.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 267 [M + Na]^+$ .

#### (*R*)-3-Methylnon-8-yn-1-ol (15)

To a soln of Na (0.50 g, 19.8 mmol) in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> (25 mL) was added compound 14 (0.8 g, 3.31 mmol) in anhyd THF (8 mL) at -33 °C. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and quenched with solid NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (1.5 g). NH<sub>3</sub> was allowed to evaporate and Et<sub>2</sub>O was added to the residual mixture and it was filtered through a pad of celite. The filtrate was dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The solvent was removed in vacuo to give a residue (0.473 g, 94%) that was used for the next reaction without purification. Li wire (0.63 g, 90 mmol) was added to 1,3-diaminopropane (4 mL) in a 100-mL two-necked pear-shaped flask. The soln was heated at 80 °C for 2 h until the blue color had discharged and the white lithium salt was evident. The mixture was cooled to r.t., and NaNH<sub>2</sub> (0.58 g, 64.8 mmol) was added in one portion. The resulting yellow soln was stirred for 15 min. A soln of the internal alkyne (0.47 g, 3.0 mmol) in 1,3-diaminopropane (5 mL) was added dropwise, and the blood-red soln was heated at 65 °C for 6 h. The resulting brown soln was cooled to r.t. and then in an ice bath and quenched with sat. aq NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. The resulting mixture was poured into H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and acidified with concd HCl (10 mL). This mixture was then extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Evaporation of the CHCl<sub>3</sub>, addition of benzene (10 mL), and subsequent evaporation afforded a tan solid that was adsorbed onto silica gel and added to the top of a chromatography column. Flash chromatography (10% EtOAc-hexanes) gave 15 (0.32 g, 68%) as colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.2$ (EtOAc-PE, 1:9).

IR (KBr): 3305, 2931, 2860, 2117, 1727, 1462, 1433, 1378, 1056, 629  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.61–3.73 (m, 2 H), 2.19 (dt, *J* = 6.7, 1.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.02–2.08 (br, 1 H), 1.94 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.12–1.65 (m, 9 H), 0.90 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 84.6, 68.13, 61.1, 39.8, 36.5, 29.3, 28.6, 26.0, 19.5, 18.3.

MS (EI):  $m/z = 155 [M + H]^+$ .

#### 2-[(*R*)-16-(Benzyloxy)-14-methylhexadec-8-ynyloxy]tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (16)

Into a suitable flask fitted with an NH<sub>3</sub> condenser at -40 °C, NH<sub>3</sub> (45 mL) was collected and to this was added a catalytic amount of Fe<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (20 mg, 0.17 mmol) followed by Li (0.33 g, 47.14 mmol) over a period of 20 min. The contents were stirred for 30 min to form  $LiNH_2$ . Then (R)-[(3-methylnon-8-ynyloxy)methyl]benzene (6, 1.0 g, 4.09 mmol) was added in THF (8 mL) followed by HMPA (3 mL) as co-solvent. The contents were stirred for 2 h and then compound 7A (1.2 gm) in THF (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred for 6 h and quenched with NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (1 g). The mixture was warmed to r.t. for evaporation of NH<sub>3</sub>. The residue was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and sat. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln (10 mL) was added. The organic layer was separated and washed with brine (10 mL). The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was subjected to column chromatography to give 16 (1.53 g, 85%) as a colorless liquid;  $R_f = 0.6$  (EtOAc-PE, 1:9);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 0.3$  (c 0.6, CHCl<sub>2</sub>)

IR (KBr): 3305, 3030, 2930, 2858, 1638, 1456, 1364, 1103, 1029, 908, 737, 631 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.22–7.37 (m, 5 H), 4.57 (t, J = 3.39 Hz, 1 H), 4.45 (s, 2 H), 3.81–3.90 (m, 1 H), 3.67–3.76 (m, 1 H), 3.45–3.54 (m, 3 H), 3.31–3.41 (m, 1 H), 2.09–2.16 (m, 4 H), 1.22–1.87 (m, 25 H), 0.88 (d, J = 6.42 Hz, 3 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 138.6, 128.2, 127.5, 127.4, 98.7, 80.18, 80.13, 72.8, 68.6, 67.5, 62.2, 62.9, 36.7, 36.5, 30.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.3, 29.0, 28.9, 28.7, 26.1, 25.4, 19.6, 19.5, 18.7.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 465 [M + Na]^+$ .

# (3*R*)-3-Methyl-16-(tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yloxy)hexadec-8-yn-1-ol (17)

To a soln of Na (0.80 g, 34.78 mmol) in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> (25 mL) was added compound **16** (1.50 g, 3.40 mmol) in anhyd THF (8 mL) at

Downloaded by: Indian Institute of Chemical Technology. Copyrighted material.

-33 °C. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and was quenched with solid NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (5.5 g). NH<sub>3</sub> was allowed to evaporate and Et<sub>2</sub>O was added to the residual mixture and this was filtered through a pad of celite. The filtrate was dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Removal of the solvent under vacuum and purification of the crude product by column chromatography afforded the alcohol **17** (1.14 g, 94%) as colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.1$  (EtOAc–PE, 1:9);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 2.0$  (*c* 0.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3421, 2931, 2858, 1458, 1352, 1125, 1069, 1029, 869, 726  $\rm cm^{-l}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.56 (t, *J* = 3.77 Hz, 1 H), 3.58–3.91 (m, 4 H), 3.44–3.54 (m, 1 H), 3.31–3.43 (m, 1 H), 2.08–2.17 (m, 4 H), 1.75–1.89 (m, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.20–1.70 (m, 25 H), 0.89 (d, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 98.7, 80.2, 80.0, 67.6, 62.2, 61.0, 39.8, 36.5, 30.6, 29.6, 29.3, 29.2, 29.0, 28.9, 28.7, 26.0, 25.4, 19.6, 18.6.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 375 [M + Na]^+$ .

2-[(S)-14-Methylhexadec-8-ynyloxy]tetrahydro-2H-pyran (18) To soln of alcohol 17 (1.1 g, 3.25 mmol) in anhyd CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL), Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.7 mL, 19.40 mmol) was added at 0 °C. Then TsCl (1.23 g, 6.5 mmol) was added over 2 h. The mixture was allowed to warm to 25 °C and stirred for 6 h. The mixture was treated with 1 M aq HCl (10 mL) and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (15 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL), dried ( $Na_2SO_4$ ), and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a residue (1.50 g, 95%) that was used for the next reaction without purification. LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (0.22 g, 5.92 mmol) was added to THF (8 mL) at 0 °C, then tosyl compound (1.5 g) was added and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 4 h. The reaction was quenched with ice cubes at 0 °C (100 mg), The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3  $\times$  30 mL) and the combined extracts were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL), and dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel) to give 18 (0.94 g, 95%) as a colorless liquid;  $R_f = 0.5$  (EtOAc-PE, 1:9);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 1.3$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3447, 2929, 2857, 1459, 1351, 1263, 1126, 1074, 1030, 974, 904, 871, 812 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.57 (t, *J* = 3.49 Hz, 1 H), 3.84–3.90 (m, 1 H), 3.69–3.77 (m, 1 H), 3.47–3.54 (m, 1 H), 3.35–3.43 (m, 1 H), 2.14 (t, *J* = 6.49 Hz, 4 H), 1.79–1.87 (m, 1 H), 1.67–1.74 (m, 1 H), 1.24–1.63 (m, 22 H), 1.07–1.19 (m, 1 H), 0.83–0.91 (m, 6 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 98.8, 80.2, 80.1, 67.6, 62.3, 36.0, 34.2, 30.7, 29.7, 29.4, 29.4, 29.1, 29.0, 28.7, 26.3, 26.6, 25.5, 19.6, 19.1, 18.7, 18.7, 11.3.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 359 [M + Na]^+$ .

### (S)-14-Methylhexadec-8-yn-1-ol (19)

To a stirred soln of compound **18** (0.9 g, 2.8 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL), PTSA (catalytic amount, 0.02 g) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at r.t. The mixture was quenched with sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln (2 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2 × 15 mL) The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc–PE, 1:9;  $R_f$ = 0.3); to afford **19** (0.6 g, 90%) as a colorless liquid; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> +1.5 (*c* 0.29, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3339, 2929, 2856, 1459, 1376, 1334, 1056, 725 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.64 (t, *J* = 6.61 Hz, 2 H), 1.94–2.18 (m, 4 H), 1.24–1.61 (m, 19 H), 1.19–1.04 (m, 1 H), 0.82–0.89 (m, 6 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) : δ = 63.0, 36.0, 35.7, 34.2, 32.6, 29.4, 29.0, 28.9, 28.7, 26.2, 25.6, 19.1, 18.7, 18.6, 11.3.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 275 [M + Na]^+$ .

## (*S*,*E*)-14-Methylhexadec-8-en-1-ol (4)

To freshly condensed NH<sub>3</sub> (15 mL) at -33 °C, compound **19** (0.3 g) in THF (8 mL) was added slowly followed by Na (0.53 g) in a portionwise manner. The mixture was stirred for 12 h and then it was quenched with NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (1 g). The NH<sub>3</sub> was allowed to evaporate and sat. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln was added carefully at 0 °C. The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 20 mL) and the combined extracts were washed with brine (10 mL). The soln was dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude product. Purification by column chromatography gave the *trans*-olefin **4** (0.284 g, 94%);  $R_f$  = 0.35 (EtOAc-PE, 1:9);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +5.29 (c 2.823, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3339, 2956, 2925, 2855, 1460, 1376, 1219, 1055, 965, 772  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 5.28-5.40$  (m, 2 H), 3.64 (t, J = 6.61 Hz, 2 H), 1.93–2.01 (m, 4 H), 1.50–1.60 (m, 2 H), 1.21–1.46 (m, 16 H), 1.04–1.18 (m, 2 H), 0.82–0.92 (m, 5 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 130.3, 130.1, 62.9, 36.3, 34.2, 32.6, 32.5, 29.9, 29.4, 29.4, 29.2, 29.0, 26.5, 25.6, 25.6, 19.1, 11.3.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 277 [M + Na]^+$ .

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{34}O\!\!:$  C, 80.24; H, 13.47. Found: C, 80.04; H, 13.01.

### (S,E)-14-Methylhexadec-8-enal (3)

To an ice-cooled soln of 2-iodoxybenzoic acid (0.327 g, 1.17 mmol) in DMSO (0.55 mL, 7.55 mmol) was added a soln of alcohol 4 (0.2 g, 0.78 mmol) in anhyd CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 mL). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h and then filtered through a celite pad and washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined organic filtrates were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, brine, dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–PE, 1:9;  $R_f = 0.7$ ) to afford pure **3** (0.178 g, 90%) as a viscous liquid; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> +5.83 (*c* 3.55, Et<sub>2</sub>O).

IR(KBr): 2956, 2928, 2856, 2715, 1728, 1461, 1377, 967, 730 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 9.75 (t, *J* = 1.51 Hz, 1 H), 5.32– 5.37 (m, 2 H), 2.37–2.44 (m, 2 H), 1.90–2.05 (m, 4 H), 1.57–1.70 (m, 2 H), 1.22–1.43 (br, 13 H), 1.05–1.18 (m, 1 H), 0.81–0.90 (m, 7 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 202.2, 130.3, 130.0, 129.3, 43.6, 36.5, 34.3, 32.5, 32.5, 32.1, 29.4, 28.5, 27.1, 27.0, 26.7, 26.5, 22.0, 19.1, 11.1.

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{32}O$ : C, 80.88; H, 12.78. Found: C, 80.40; H, 12.27.

#### (*S*,*Z*)-14-Methylhexadec-8-en-1-ol (2)

Compound **19** (0.3 g) was dissolved in abs EtOH (10 mL), and Lindlar's catalyst (10 mg) was added along with quinoline (2–3 drops). The flask was hydrogenated with stirring until no more H<sub>2</sub> consumption was noticed. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel) to afford alcohol **2** (0.28 g, 94%);  $R_f = 0.35$  (EtOAc–PE, 1:9);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +5.31 (*c* 4.57, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (KBr): 3379, 2923, 2854, 1650, 1458, 1375, 1054, 966, 721  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 5.25–5.37 (m, 2 H), 3.61 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.92–2.05 (m, 4 H), 1.49–1.61 (m, 2 H), 1.22–1.40 (m, 16 H), 1.04–1.18 (m, 2 H), 0.82–0.90 (m, 6 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 130.3, 130.1, 29.0, 27.2, 27.1, 26.7, 26.5, 25.6, 19.1, 11.3.

MS (ESI):  $m/z = 277 [M + Na]^+$ .

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{34}O$ : C, 80.24; H, 13.42. Found: C, 79.60; H, 13.12.

#### (*S*,*Z*)-14-Methylhexadec-8-enal (1)

To an ice-cooled soln of 2-iodoxybenzoic acid (0.327 g, 1.17 mmol) in DMSO (0.55 mL, 7.55 mmol) was added a soln of alcohol **3** (0.2 g, 0.7874 mmol) in anhyd CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 mL). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h and then filtered through a celite pad and washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined organic filtrates were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, brine, dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–PE, 1:9;  $R_f = 0.7$ ) to afford pure **1** (0.178 g, 90%) as a viscous liquid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +6.31 (*c* 4.958, Et<sub>2</sub>O).

IR(KBr): 2985, 2940, 2910, 2840, 2695, 1710, 1440, 1360, 723  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 9.75 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.26–5.37 (m, 2 H), 2.37–2.44 (m, 2 H), 1.92–2.05 (m, 4 H), 1.58–1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.24–1.41 (m, 13 H), 1.05–1.18 (m, 1 H), 0.81–0.91 (m, 7 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 202.8, 130.5, 130.0, 129.5, 43.8, 36.4, 34.3, 32.6, 32.4, 32.0, 29.4, 28.9, 27.2, 27.0, 26.7, 26.5, 22.0, 19.1, 11.0.

### References

- (a) Rodin, J. O.; Silverstein, R. M.; Burkholder, W. E.; Gorman, J. E. Science (Washington, D.C) 1969, 165, 904.
   (b) Silverstein, R. M.; Cassidy, R. F.; Burkholder, W. E.; Shapas, T. J.; Levinson, H. Z.; Levinson, A. R.; Mori, K. J. Chem. Ecol. 1980, 6, 911. (c) Cross, J. H.; Byler, R. C.; Cassidy, R. F. Jr.; Silverstein, R. M.; Greenblatt, R. E.; Burkholder, W. E.; Levinson, A. R.; Levinson, H. Z. J. Chem. Ecol. 1976, 2, 457.
- (2) (a) Mori, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 14, 3869. (b) Mori, K. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 3817. (c) Mori, K.; Suguro, T.; Uchida, M. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 3119. (d) Suguro, T.; Mori, K. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1979, 43, 409. (e) Mori, K.; Kuwahara, S.; Levinson, H. Z.; Levinson, A. R. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 2291. (f) Mori, K. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3900.

- (3) (a) Rossi, R.; Carpita, A. *Tetrahedron* 1977, 33, 2447.
  (b) Rossi, R.; Salvadori, P. A.; Carpita, A.; Niccoli, A. *Tetrahedron* 1979, 35, 2039. (c) Rossi, R.; Niccoli, A. *Naturwissenschaften* 1978, 65, 259.
- (4) (a) Chattopadhyay, S.; Mamdapur, V. R.; Chadha, M. S. Indian J. Chem., Sect. B: Org. Chem. Incl. Med. Chem. 1989, 28, 848. (b) Chattopadhyay, S.; Mamdapur, V. R.; Chadha, M. S. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 825.
- (5) (a) Yadav, J. S.; Uma Gayathri, K.; Thrimurtulu, N.; Prasad, A. R. *Tetrahedron* 2009, *65*, 3536. (b) Yadav, J. S.; Jagan Reddy, E.; Ramalingam, T. *New J. Chem.* 2001, *25*, 223. (c) Yadav, J. S.; Madabushi, Y. V.; Prasad, A. R. *Tetrahedron* 1998, *54*, 7551. (d) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, K. V.; Chandrashekar, S. *Synth. Commun.* 1998, *28*, 4249.
- (6) (a) Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1972, *13*, 3769. (b) Gibtner, T.; Hampel, F.; Gisselbrecht, J. P.; Hirsch, A. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, *8*, 408. (c) Mori, M.; Tonogaki, K.; Kinoshita, A. *Org. Synth.* 2005, *81*, 1. (d) Desai, N. B.; Mckelvie, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1962, *84*, 1745.
- (7) (a) Macaulay, S. R. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 2567. (b) Trost, B. M.; Horne, D. B.; Woltering, M. J. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 6607. (c) Brown, C. A.; Yamashita, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 891. (d) Kimmel, T.; Becker, D. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2494. (e) Hoye, R. C.; Baigorria, A. S.; Danielson, M. E.; Pragman, A. A.; Rajapakse, H. A. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2450. (f) Rama Rao, A. V.; Ravindra Reddy, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8329. (g) Sabitha, G.; Yadagiri, K.; Yadav, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1651. (h) Yadav, J. S.; Chandrasekhar, S.; Rajashaker, K. Synth. Commun. 1995, 25, 4035. (i) Kirkham, J. E. D.; Courtney, T. D. L.; Lee, V.; Baldwin, J. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5645. (j) Hass, E. C.; Mezey, P. G.; Abrams, S. R. J. Comput. Chem. 1982, 3, 185.
- (8) Yadav, J. S.; Venkatesh, M.; Thrimurtulu, N.; Prasad, A. R. Synlett 2010, 1255.