Tetrahedron Letters 54 (2013) 5758-5760

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Stereoselective total synthesis of xyolide

B. V. Subba Reddy ^{a,*}, P. Sivaramakrishna Reddy ^a, B. Phaneendra Reddy ^a, J. S. Yadav ^a, Ahamad Al Khazim Al Ghamdi ^b

^a Natural Products Chemistry, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India ^b Engineer Abdullah Baqshan for Bee Research, King Saudi University, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 19 July 2013 Revised 8 August 2013 Accepted 11 August 2013 Available online 16 August 2013

Keywords: Xyolide MacMillan aminooxylation Steglich esterification Ring closing metathesis

Nonenolides have emerged as attractive synthetic targets due to their potent biological activities.¹ Many of these lactones are being produced by fungi, bacteria, and marine organisms. A few of them are isolated from plants or insects (pheromones).

The 10-membered macrolides such as stagonolides A–I,² decarestrictines A, D, and J,³ herbarumins I–III,⁴ and microcarpalide⁵ (Fig. 1) are known to exhibit potent biological activities such as antibacterial, antifungal, cytotoxic, and phytotoxic behavior which make them attractive synthetic targets. In particular, xyolide (1), a 10-membered macrolide isolated from the Amazonian endophytic fungus, *Xylaria feejeensis* is important. The structure of **1** was established by ¹D and ²D NMR and the absolute configuration was determined by exciton-coupled circular dichroism. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of xyolide against *P. ultimum* was 425 μ M.⁶

In continuation of our interest on the total synthesis of biologically active molecules,⁷ herein we report the stereoselective total synthesis of xyolide employing *n*-nonanal as a cost-effective and readily available precursor. Our retrosynthetic analysis of xyolide **1** reveals that it could be synthesized by means of RCM of **14**, which in turn could be prepared through the esterification of alkenoic acid **13** with alkenol **8**. The intermediates **13** and **8** could easily be accessed from the commercially available pentane-1,5diol **3** and *n*-nonanal **2**, respectively (Scheme 1).

Accordingly, the synthesis of xyolide **1** began from *n*-nonanal **2**, which was subjected to a sequential aminoxylation catalyzed by

* Corresponding author. Fax: +91 40 27160512.

L-proline at -20 °C followed by olefination to furnish the γ-butenolide **4** in 60% yield.⁸ Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation⁹ of γ-butenolide **4** with AD-mix-α in *tert*-butanol and water system gave the diol **5** in 94% yield. Protection of the diol with 2,2-dimethoxypropane in the presence of PPTS gave the lactone **6** which was then reduced with DIBAL-H to give the lactol **7** in 92% yield. Lactol **7** was subjected to one carbon Wittig homologation with methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide in the presence of KO'Bu to give the alkenol **8** in 82% yield (Scheme 2).¹⁰

A stereoselective total synthesis of xyolide is described employing MacMillan α -hydroxylation, Steglich

esterification, and ring closing metathesis as key steps. The use of organocatalytic MacMillan α -hydrox-

vlation to construct two of the chiral centers of the xyolide makes this approach attractive.

Next, we focused on the synthesis of another key intermediate **13** which was commenced from pentane-1,5-diol **3**. Mono-protection of the diol **3** with BnBr in the presence of NaH in THF afforded











© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: basireddy@iict.res.in (B.V.S. Reddy).

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter \circledast 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.08.038



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of xyolide.

the benzyl ether in 88% yield, which was further subjected to oxidation with IBX to give the aldehyde **9** in 87% yield. α -Amino-oxylation of compound **9** with nitrasobenzene using D-proline followed by reduction with NaBH₄ and subsequent cleavage of the aminooxy alcohol with CuSO₄·5H₂O furnished the required diol **10** (98% ee, by HPLC analysis) in 60% yield.¹¹ Treatment of the diol **10** with NaH and *N*-tosylimidazole gave the epoxide in 80% yield. The epoxide formed was treated with trimethylsulfonium iodide in the presence of *n*-BuLi in THF at $-20 \,^{\circ}$ C to give the desired allylic alcohol in 88% yield,¹² which was then protected as its TBS ether **11** using TBSCl and imidazole. Compound **11** was treated with Li/naphthalene to afford the alcohol **12** in 90% yield. One-pot oxidation of compound **12** with TEMPO-BAIB afforded the acid **13** in 85% yield (Scheme 3).

Finally, we attempted the coupling of alcohol **8** with carboxylic acid **13** so as to construct a 10-membered ring via RCM reaction.

Under Steglich conditions (DCC/DMAP), the coupling of alcohol **8** with acid **13** gave the corresponding ester **14** in 85% yield.¹³ Removal of TBS ether using HF-pyridine followed by ring-closing metathesis of **14** using Grubbs' second generation catalyst¹⁴ in CH₂Cl₂ under reflux conditions for 6 h gave the 10-membered macrolide **15** (exclusively as its *E*-isomer) in 80% yield. Esterifica-



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) PhNO, L-proline (40 mol %), DMSO, 20 °C; (ii) $(CF_3CH_2O)_2P(O)CH_2CO_2CH_3$, DBU, LiCl, THF, -20 °C then MeOH, NH₄Cl, Cu(OAc)₂, rt, 24 h; (b) AD-mix- α , *t*-BuOH, H₂O (1:1). (c) 2,2-DMP, PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, 89%; (d) DIBAL-H, THF, 0 °C to rt, 92%. (e) CH₃PPh₃I, KO^tBu, THF, 0 °C to rt, 82%.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) BnBr, NaH, THF, 0 °C to rt., 6 h, 88%. (ii) IBX, DMSO, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to rt, 4h, 87%; (b) PhNO, D-proline (40 mol %), DMSO, rt, 30 min then NaBH₄, EtOH, then $CuSO_4$, MeOH, 12 h, 60%; (c) (i) NaH, *N*-tosylimidazole, 80%. (ii) Me₃Sl, *n*-BuLi, THF, -20 °C, 88%; (iii) TBSCl, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂, 2 h, 95%; (d) Li, naphthalene, -20 °C, 90%; (e) TEMPO–BAIB, CH₃CN, H₂O (1:1) rt, 85%.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) DCC, DMAP, CH_2CI_2 , rt, 85%; (b) (i) HF-pyridine, THF, 0 °C to rt, 10 h, 89%; (ii) Grubbs' catalyst-II, CH_2CI_2 , reflux, 3 h, 80%; (c) $C_4H_4O_3$, DMAP, CH_2CI_2 , 89%; (d) 2 N HCI, THF, 4 h, 73%.

tion of the macrolide **15** with succinic anhydride¹⁵ followed by removal of the acetonide using 2 N HCl furnished the target molecule xyolide **1** in 73% yield (Scheme 4). The spectral data (¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR, $[\alpha]_D^{20}$) of xyolide **1** were identical in all respects with the data reported in the literature.⁶

In summary, we have developed a concise and convergent approach for the total synthesis of xyolide in a highly stereoselective manner. MacMillan organocatalytic α -hydroxylation and asymmetric dihydroxylation are successfully employed to establish the chiral centers of xyolide.

Acknowledgments

P.S.R.K.R. and B.P.R. thank CSIR, New Delhi for the award of fellowships. J.S.Y. thanks CSIR, New Delhi for Bhatnagar Fellowship.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.08. 038.

References and notes

 (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Chen, J. S.; Dalby, S. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009, 17, 2290; (b) Hendrik, G.; Peter, J. S.; Ekaterina, E.; Stefan, K.; Gabriele, M. K. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1651; (c) Piel, J. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2009, 26, 338; (d) Riatto, V. B.; Pilli, R. A.; Victor, M. M. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 2279; (e) Surat, B.; Prasat, K.; Masahiko, I.; Daraporn, P.; Morakot, T.; Yodhathai, T. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 965. and references therein.

- (a) Evidente, A.; Cimmino, A.; Berestetskiy, A.; Mitina, G.; Andolfi, A.; Motta, A. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 31; (b) Evidente, A.; Cimmino, A.; Be restetskiy, A.; Andolfi, A.; Motta, A. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1897; (c) Yuzikhin, O.; Mitina, G.; Berestetskiy, A. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 7707.
- **3.** (a) Grabley, S.; Granzer, E.; Hütter, K.; Ludwig, D.; Mayer, M.; Thiericke, R.; Till, G.; Wink, J.; Philipps, S.; Zeeck, A. *J. Antibiot.* **1992**, *45*, 56; (b) Göhrt, A.; Zeeck, A.; Hütter, K.; Kirsch, R.; Kluge, H.; Thiericke, R. *J. Antibiot.* **1992**, *45*, 66.
- (a) Rivero-Cruz, J. F.; Garcia-Aguieee, G.; Cerda-Garcia-Rojas, C. M.; Mata, R. *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 5337; (b) Rivero-Cruz, J. F.; Martha, M.; CerdaGarcia-Rojas, C. M.; Mata, R. J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 511.
- 5. Ratnayake, A. S.; Yoshida, W. Y.; Mooberry, S. L.; Hemscheidt, T. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3479.
- Baraban, E. G.; Morin, J. B.; Phillips, G. M.; Phillips, A. J.; Strobel, S. A.; Handelsman, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 4058.
- (a) Reddy, B. V. S.; Reddy, B. P.; Pandurangam, T.; Yadav, J. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2011, *52*, 2306; (b) Reddy, P. J.; Reddy, A. S.; Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.

Tetrahedron Lett. **2012**, 53, 4051; (c) Kishore, Ch.; Reddy, A. S.; Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, 53, 4551; (d) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, Y. J.; Reddy, P. A. N.; Reddy, B. V. S. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 546.

- 8. Devalankar, D. A.; Chouthaiwale, P. V.; Sudalai, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2012, 23, 240.
- (a) Jacobsen, E. N.; Marko, I.; Mungall, W. S.; Schroeder, G.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1968; (b) Kolb, H. C.; Van Nieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483–2547.
- Srihari, P.; Kumaraswamy, B.; Shankar, P.; Ravishashidhar, V.; Yadav, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 6174.
- (a) Zhong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4247; (b) Reddy, B. V. S.; Reddy, B. P.; Pandurangam, T.; Yadav, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 2306.
- Reddy, B. P.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Pandurangam, T.; Yadav, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 5749.
- 13. Shimizu, T.; Masuda, T.; Hiramoto, K.; Nakata, T. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2153.
- 14. Grubbs, H. R.; Chang, S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4413.
- 15. Kobayashi, Y.; Okui, H. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 612.