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#### Abstract

A stereoselective total synthesis of xyolide is described employing MacMillan $\alpha$-hydroxylation, Steglich esterification, and ring closing metathesis as key steps. The use of organocatalytic MacMillan $\alpha$-hydroxylation to construct two of the chiral centers of the xyolide makes this approach attractive.
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Nonenolides have emerged as attractive synthetic targets due to their potent biological activities. ${ }^{1}$ Many of these lactones are being produced by fungi, bacteria, and marine organisms. A few of them are isolated from plants or insects (pheromones).

The 10 -membered macrolides such as stagonolides A-I, ${ }^{2}$ decarestrictines A, D, and J, ${ }^{3}$ herbarumins I-III, ${ }^{4}$ and microcarpalide ${ }^{5}$ (Fig. 1) are known to exhibit potent biological activities such as antibacterial, antifungal, cytotoxic, and phytotoxic behavior which make them attractive synthetic targets. In particular, xyolide (1), a 10 -membered macrolide isolated from the Amazonian endophytic fungus, Xylaria feejeensis is important. The structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was established by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}$ NMR and the absolute configuration was determined by exciton-coupled circular dichroism. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of xyolide against P. ultimum was $425 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{6}$

In continuation of our interest on the total synthesis of biologically active molecules, ${ }^{7}$ herein we report the stereoselective total synthesis of xyolide employing $n$-nonanal as a cost-effective and readily available precursor. Our retrosynthetic analysis of xyolide 1 reveals that it could be synthesized by means of RCM of 14, which in turn could be prepared through the esterification of alkenoic acid $\mathbf{1 3}$ with alkenol 8 . The intermediates $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ could easily be accessed from the commercially available pentane-1,5diol 3 and $n$-nonanal 2, respectively (Scheme 1).

Accordingly, the synthesis of xyolide $\mathbf{1}$ began from $n$-nonanal $\mathbf{2}$, which was subjected to a sequential aminoxylation catalyzed by

[^0]L-proline at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by olefination to furnish the $\gamma$-butenolide 4 in $60 \%$ yield. ${ }^{8}$ Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation ${ }^{9}$ of $\gamma$-butenolide 4 with AD-mix- $\alpha$ in tert-butanol and water system gave the diol 5 in $94 \%$ yield. Protection of the diol with 2,2-dimethoxypropane in the presence of PPTS gave the lactone $\mathbf{6}$ which was then reduced with DIBAL-H to give the lactol 7 in $92 \%$ yield. Lactol 7 was subjected to one carbon Wittig homologation with methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide in the presence of $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ to give the alkenol $\mathbf{8}$ in $82 \%$ yield (Scheme 2 ). ${ }^{10}$

Next, we focused on the synthesis of another key intermediate 13 which was commenced from pentane-1,5-diol 3 . Mono-protection of the diol $\mathbf{3}$ with BnBr in the presence of NaH in THF afforded
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Figure 1. Examples of 10 -membered macrolides.


Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of xyolide.
the benzyl ether in $88 \%$ yield, which was further subjected to oxidation with IBX to give the aldehyde 9 in $87 \%$ yield. $\alpha$-Aminooxylation of compound 9 with nitrasobenzene using D-proline followed by reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and subsequent cleavage of the aminooxy alcohol with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ furnished the required diol 10 ( $98 \%$ ee, by HPLC analysis) in $60 \%$ yield. ${ }^{11}$ Treatment of the diol 10 with NaH and N -tosylimidazole gave the epoxide in $80 \%$ yield. The epoxide formed was treated with trimethylsulfonium iodide in the presence of $n$-BuLi in THF at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the desired allylic alcohol in $88 \%$ yield, ${ }^{12}$ which was then protected as its TBS ether $\mathbf{1 1}$ using TBSCl and imidazole. Compound 11 was treated with $\mathrm{Li} /$ naphthalene to afford the alcohol 12 in $90 \%$ yield. One-pot oxidation of compound 12 with TEMPO-BAIB afforded the acid 13 in $85 \%$ yield (Scheme 3).

Finally, we attempted the coupling of alcohol 8 with carboxylic acid 13 so as to construct a 10-membered ring via RCM reaction.

Under Steglich conditions (DCC/DMAP), the coupling of alcohol 8 with acid 13 gave the corresponding ester 14 in $85 \%$ yield. ${ }^{13}$ Removal of TBS ether using HF-pyridine followed by ring-closing metathesis of 14 using Grubbs' second generation catalyst ${ }^{14}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under reflux conditions for 6 h gave the 10 -membered macrolide 15 (exclusively as its $E$-isomer) in $80 \%$ yield. Esterifica-


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) PhNO, l-proline ( $40 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DMSO, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{DBU}, \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{THF},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) AD-mix- $\alpha, t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1). (c) 2,2-DMP, PPTS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 89 \%$; (d) DIBAL-H, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 92 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{KO}^{\dagger} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 82 \%$.


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) BnBr, NaH, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt., $6 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$. (ii) IBX, DMSO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$; (b) PhNO, d-proline ( $40 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DMSO, rt , 30 min then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, then $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$; (c) (i) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{N}$-tosylimidazole, $80 \%$. (ii) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SI}, n$-BuLi, THF, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 88 \%$; (iii) TBSCl, imidazole, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, 95\%; (d) Li, naphthalene, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%$; (e) TEMPO-BAIB, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) rt, $85 \%$.


Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) DCC, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 85 \%$; (b) (i) HFpyridine, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $10 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$; (ii) Grubbs' catalyst-II, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 89 \%$; (d) $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$.
tion of the macrolide $\mathbf{1 5}$ with succinic anhydride ${ }^{15}$ followed by removal of the acetonide using 2 N HCl furnished the target molecule xyolide 1 in $73 \%$ yield (Scheme 4). The spectral data $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}\right)$ of xyolide $\mathbf{1}$ were identical in all respects with the data reported in the literature. ${ }^{6}$

In summary, we have developed a concise and convergent approach for the total synthesis of xyolide in a highly stereoselective manner. MacMillan organocatalytic $\alpha$-hydroxylation and asymmetric dihydroxylation are successfully employed to establish the chiral centers of xyolide.
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